An Isoenergetic Very Low Carbohydrate Diet Improves Serum HDL Cholesterol and Triacylglycerol Concentrations, the Total Cholesterol to HDL Cholesterol Ratio and Postprandial Lipemic Responses Compared with a Low Fat Diet in Normal Weight, Normolipidemic Women

Jeff Volek

Thursday, August 12, 2021 10:46 AM

Introduction

This study investigates how a low carb diet compares against a low fat diet for cholesterol and blood fats, along with cholesterol particle size, in young, healthy women.

Conclusions

Women experience increases in total, LDL, and HDL cholesterol from a low carbohydrate diet.

Women experience little change in cholesterol particle type and size on a low carbohydrate diet.

Amendments

STUDY 8

Study Design & Additional Information

The researchers recruited 10 young, healthy, normal weight women. All measures were done at the same time during the menstrual cycle (follicular phase, before ovulation).

Participants all were subjected to one of two diets for 4 weeks. The first diet was a weight maintenance diet that was low carbohydrate (less than 10%) with majority of their food coming from fat (60%), with no restrictions on the type of fat consumed (saturated vs unsaturated). Then, they underwent a 4 week washout period where they consumed their normal diet prior to the study, then went onto the second diet, which was a balanced diet with higher carbohydrates (55% of diet) and lower fat (25%). They were also supplied a daily multivitamin.

Participants had blood measures taken at baseline (before implementing the diets), then at the 2 week mark, and then again at the 4 week mark, for each diet. This allows the researchers to compare pre-diet vs post-diet, as well as diet vs diet (low carb vs balanced). The participants also measured their food for several days to get a sense of what they were eating.

On both diets, the participants lost a little weight, although not statistically different from one another.

Human Nutrition and Metabolism

An Isoenergetic Very Low Carbohydrate Diet Improves Serum HDL Cholesterol and Triacylglycerol Concentrations, the Total Cholesterol to HDL Cholesterol Ratio and Postprandial Lipemic Responses Compared with a Low Fat Diet in Normal Weight, Normolipidemic Women^{1,2}

Jeff S. Volek,³ Matthew J. Sharman, Ana L. Gómez, Timothy P. Scheett* and William J. Kraemer

Human Performance Laboratory, Department of Kinesiology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269-1110 and 'Laboratory for Applied Physiology, The University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS 39406

ABSTRACT Very low carbohydrate diets are popular, yet little is known about their effects on blood lipids and other cardiovascular disease risk factors. We reported previously that a very low carbohydrate diet favorably affected fasting and postprandial triacy[giveoris, LDL subclasses and HDL cholesterol (HDL-C) in men but the effects in women are unclear. We compared the effects of a very low carbohydrate and a low fat diet on fasting lipids, postprandial lipemia and markers of inflammation in women. We conducted a balanced, randomized, two-period, crossover study in 10 healthy normolipidemic women who consumed both a low fat (cistor) (610-ci) that or and a very low carbohydrate (<10% carbohydrate) diet for 4 wk each. Two blood draws were performed on separate days at 0, 2 and 4 wk and a roral fat tolerance test was performed at baseline and after each diet period. Compared with the low fat diet, 100-ci) (5%) and decreased P= 0.05) fasting serum total cholesterol (16%), LDL co) (15%) and HDL-C (35%) and decreased serum triac/givperois (-30%), the total cholesterol (16%), LDL co) (15%) and HDL-C (35%) and decreased serum triac/givperois (-30%), the total cholesterol (16%), LDL co) (15%) and HDL-C (35%) and decreased serum triac-givplycerol curve (-31%). There were no significant changes in LDL size or markers of inflammation (Creastive protain, interlewin-6, turnor necrosis factor-a) after the very low carbohydrate diet. In normal weight, normolipidemic women, a short-term very low carbohydrate diet modestly increased LDL-C, yet three were favorable effects on cardiovascular disease risk status by virtue of a relative) (arger increase in HDL-C and a decrease in fasting and postprandial triacygivperols. J. Nutr. 133: 2756-2761, 2003.

KEY WORDS: • postprandial lipernia • LDL subclasses • inflammation • ketogenic diets • women

A large number of people have adopted a dietary strategy aimed at limiting carbohydrate intake. In many instances, carbohydrates are restricted to <10% of total energy. Because these diets increase the production of ketones, very low car-bohydrate diets are commonly referred to as ketogenic diets. Despite their popularity among the general population, very low carbohydrate diets have been widely criticized by profes-sional organizations because of a lack of scientific information demonstrating safety and efficacy (1,2). A recent USDA re-view called for further research into the safety and efficacy of low carbohydrate diets (3). ow carbohydrate diets (3). We reported previously that an 8-wk very low carbohydrate

¹ Presented in part at Experimental Biology '03, April 2003, San Diego, CA harman, M. J., Gomez, A. L., Kraamer, W. J. & Volak, J. S. (2003). Lipica and oprotein responses to an isoenergetic ketopenic and low-fat diel in normal inglit woman. FASEB J. 17, A2682 (abs.). ⁵ Supported by grant from The Pobert CA skirs Foundation, New York, NY. ⁵ To whom correspondence should be addressed. mail: Volak@ucommunucornadu.

0022-3166(03 \$3.00 © 2003 American Society for Nutritional Sciences. Manuscript received 23 April 2003. Initial review completed 16 June 2003. Revision accepted 23 June 2003.

2756

diet rich in monounsaturated fat (MUFA)⁴ and supplemented with (n-3) fatty acids increased HDL cholesterol (HDL-C) and decreased fasting triacylglycerols and postprandial lipemia in normolipidemic, normal-weight men (4). We subsequently reported similar responses in lipoproteins after a 6-wk very low carbohydrate diet that was not rich in MUFA nor supple-mented with n-3 fatty acids in normolipidemic, normal-weight men (5). In addition, the very low carbohydrate diet signifi-cantly increased the size of LDL cholesterol (LDL-C) particles in men who had a predominance of small atherogenic LDL particles at the start of the study. The total cholesterol/HDL-C ratio was reduced but not significantly in both these studies. Thus our earlier research in men indicates that short-term very low carbohydrate diets improve some aspects of lipoprotein metabolism (i.e., decreased fasting and postprandial triacyl-

⁴ Abbreviations used: CRP, C-reactive protein; CVD, cardiovascular disease HDL-C, HDL cholesterol: hs-CRP, high sensitivity; IDL, intermediate density is poprotein; IL-6, interleukin-6; LDL-C, LDL cholesterol; LPL, lpoprotein lipase MUFA, monounsaturated faity acids; TNF-a, tumor necrosis factor a.

1

Other studies by titles teseatoriers nave investigated the use to natsultate and use increasing of HDL (high density lipoprotein) cholesterol in the blood, while reducing triglycerides (blood fats) in normally healthy men. The same result was seen in a low carb diet not focused on unsaturated fats in healthy men. Low carb diet also increased the size of LDL (low density lipoprotein) cholesterol in men with a genetic predisposition for atherogenic particles

1. Other studies by these researchers have investigated the use of unsaturated fats and its

This research is only in men and unknown if it happens in women, as well.

1

glycerols, increased HDL-C and increased LDL size) indepen-dent of weight loss. Whether similar effects occur in women remains unclear.

dent of weight loss. Whether similar effects occur in women remains unclear. In recent years, it has become apparent that low grade vascular inflammation plays a key role in all stages of the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis (6,7). Several blood markers indicative of endothelial dysfunction and vascular inflamma-tion have recently been found to be associated with future cardiovascular risk including proinflammators (TKP-4), and the acute phase reactant C-reactive protein (CRP) (8,9). More than a docen population-based studies have shown that CRP predicts future cardiovascular events [reviewed in (7)]. Few data exist on the effects of different diets on CRP. Data from a recent study showed a greater reduction in CRP in women after 3 mo of consuming a low energy [521 k] (1200 kcal/d)] very low carbohydrate diet than after consuming an energy-matched low fat diet (10). Whether a very low have-carbohydrate diet would have similar advantages over a low fat diet under conditions of weight maintenance is unknown.

diet under conditions of weight maintenance is unknown. Although it is difficult to estimate the number of people who have adopted a low carbohydrate diet, books advocating carbohydrate restriction have collectively sold millions of cop-ies in recent years. Despite this apparent public interest, few studies have examined the effects of very low carbohydrate diets on blood lipids and other biomarkers of cardiovascular disease, making this an important public health issue. The primary purpose of this study was to examine the effects of an isoenergetic very low carbohydrate diet on fasting lipids, post-prandial lipemia and markers of inflammation in normal-weight, normolipidemic women.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

<text><text><text><text>

SIGIS AND BLOOD LIPIDS **2157** <10% saturated fat and <300 mg cholesterol. Foods encouraged during the low fat diet rich-laded whole grains (breads, cereals and pastas), fruit/fruit pices, vegetables, vegetable oils, and low fat dairy and meat products. We developed customized diabetic exchange lists for the very low carbohydrate diet period in order to ensure a constant energy and balance of protein (-30% energy), fat (-60% energy) and carbohydrate (-10% of energy) throughout the day. There were no restrictions on the type of fat from saturated and unsaturated sources or cholesterol levels. For the very low carbohydrate diets, commonly consumed foods included beef (e.g., hamburger, steck), poultry (e.g., chicken, turkey), fah, oils, various nutsjeeds and pea-hydrate dressing, moderate amounts of chcese, eggs, protein powder, and water or low carbohydrate diet drinks. Low carbohydrate diets constant diets Nutritionals, Hauppunge, NT) were provided to sub-pices during the very low carbohydrate diet for hist. Low carbohydrate taraminimieral complex (Top Care; Topco Assoc., Skokie, IL) that; rowided microsurients at level sel 100% of the recommended di-etary diamance was given to subjects during both experimental All where twe generative nervines institution marking and the avertime transition in the strange in the transition and fathers the strange in t

The second second

Low levels of inflammation seems to be linked to cardiovascular disease, which is measured by endothelial dysfunction and vascular inflammation through pro-inflammatory markers/yotkines known as interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor, and C reacting protein.

SD

SD: The researchers recruited 10 young, healthy, normal weight women. All measure done at the same time during the menstrual cycle (follicular phase, before ovulation). sures were

Participants all were subjected to one of two diets for 4 weeks. The first diet was a weight maintenance diet that was low carbohydrate (less than 10%) with majority of their food coming from fat (60%), with no restrictions on the type of fat consumed (saturated vs unsaturated). Then, they underwent a 4 week washout period where they consumed their normal diet prior to the study, then went onto the second diet, which was a balanced diet with higher carbohydrates (55% of diet) and lower fat (25%). They were also supplied a daily multivitamin.

Participants had blood measures taken at baseline (before implementing the diets), then at the 2 week mark, and then again at the 4 week mark, for each diet. This allows the researchers to compare pre-diet vs post-diet, as well as diet vs diet (box carb vs balanced). The participants also measured their food for several days to get a sense of what they were eating.

On both diets, the participants lost a little weight, although not statistically different from one

2

SD

3

<text><text><text><text><text><page-footer>

RESULTS

RESULTS All dietary macronutrients differed significantly when women consumed the very low carbohydrate diet compared with the low far diet with the exception of dietary energy (Table 1). We achieved our goals for each diet with 19% of total energy coming from far for the low far diet and 10% of total energy coming from carbohydrate for the very low car-bohydrate diet. All subjects were in ketosis throughout con-sumption of the very low carbohydrate for the very low car-bohydrate (-1.2 ± 0.8 kg) and the low far (-0.3 ± 1.0 kg) diet periods. There were significant users significant diet by time interac-fion effects for all serum lipids and the total cholesterol/ HDLC ratio (Table 2). Total cholesterol was significantly ele-vation (differ 2 wk (+10%) and remained significantly ele-vation differ at wk 4 (+10%) and remained significantly die-t sources and are 2 wk (+10%) and remained significantly ele-vated at wk 4 (+15%) of the very low carbohydrate diet. Total processed after 2 wk (+15%) and remained significantly ele-vated at 4 wk (+15%) of the very low carbohydrate diet. Total

	TABLE 1	
Daily intakes by and nutri	normal weight women of diet ents during very low carbohyd and low fat diet periods ^{1,2}	ary energy rate
Nutrient	Very low carbohydrate	Low fat
Enormy MI	75 + 11	66+ 12

Energy, MU	1.5) <u> </u>	1.1	ь,	o ± 1.2	
Protein, g	128	\pm	19#	68	± 10	- 1
Protein, % of energy	29	±	2#	17	± 4	1
Carbohydrate, g	43	+	8#	249	± 59	- 1
Carbohydrate, % of energy	10	±	2#	62	± 6	0
Sugar, g	12	\pm	3#	97	± 28	20
Total fat, g	118	+	21#	34	± 12	3
Total fat, % of energy	60	±	3#	19	± 5	ä
Saturated fat, g	41	\pm	9#	10	± 4	ž
Monounsaturated fat, g	35	+	7#	9	± 4	1
Polyunsaturated fat, g	20	±	5#	6	± 3	9
Alcohol, % of energy	1	\pm	2#	2	± 2	- 3
Cholesterol, mg	650	+	206#	123	± 72	Ð
Dietary Fiber, g	12	±	5#	20	± 7	3/1.5
1 Values are means \pm SD; $n=$ 10. # Different from low fat at that time $P \approx 0.05,$ 2 The analysis was performed on 21 d of diet records during each diet.				academic.oup.i		

LDL-C was significantly reduced at wk 2 (-14%) but did not differ at wk 4 of the low fat diet. Serum HDL-C was signifi-cantly increased at wk 2 (+14)%) and remained significantly levated at wk 4 (+33%) of the very low carbohydrate diet. HDL-C did not differ after the low fat diet. Serum triacyle typerols were significantly decreased after 4 wk (-33%) of the very low carbohydrate diet. Serum triacylelycerols were signifi-cantly increased at wk 2 (+44%) but did not differ at wk 4 of the low fat diet. Although total cholesterol was moderately increased after the very low carbohydrate diet, the proportion ally larger increase in HDL-C significantly decreased the total ochoesterol/HDL-C ratio arbohydrate diet. Serum triacylelycerols were solve the the very low carbohydrate disc, the proportion of either dhet (Table 3). There was a significant decrease in the precentage or concentration of LDL subclasses after consump-tion of either diet (Table 3). There was a significant decrease in the precentage or concentration of LDL subclasses after consump-ter of either diet (Table 3). There was a significant decrease arbohydrate diet. There was a significant association between geak LDL size at the start of the study and the change in LDL particles (i.e., pattern B) and they each demonstrated moder at to large increases in peak LDL size (i.e., 265 to 27.6, 266 to 27.3 and 26.4 to 27.6 nm). **Ordi fat triacylelycerol** AUC at baseline (9.4 ± 3.1 mmol/L × 8 h), there was a significant decrease after consumption of the very low carbohydrate diet (7.9 ± 2.7 mmol/L × 8 h), but not the low fat diet (10.3 ± 3.3 mmol/L × 8 h). **High sensitivity Creastive protein (hx-CRP) and prote**

High sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) and proin-Figure sensitive y-reactive protein (in-C, W) and promi-flammatory cytokines. There were no significant effects of either diet on hs-CRP (very low carbohydrate, 0.98 \pm 0.23 to 0.85 \pm 0.24 mg/L; low fat, 0.87 \pm 0.33 to 0.83 \pm 0.34 mg/L), IL-6 (very low carbohydrate, 1.91 \pm 1.14 to 1.03 \pm 0.43 mg/L; low fat, 2.40 \pm 1.50 to 0.96 \pm 0.41 ng/L), or TNF-a (very low

Table 1

This data shows the diet data these women were consuming on each diet (low carbohydrate or balanced/low fat).

Primary Results:

- Primary Results: Energy intake on the Low Fat was 1578 kcalories. Energy intake on the Low Carb was 1794 kcalories. Protein was higher on the low carb diet. Sugar was higher for the low fat diet. Saturated fat was higher for the low carb diet. Dietary cholesterol was higher for the low carb diet. Fiber was higher for the low carb diet.

Note: Although, statistically, there were no differences in energy intake... 1794 vs 1578 seems substantial (over 200 kcalories a day), especially when considering this is with the same women, so the variability will be lower. I wish the researchers had posted the actual p value, because I suspect it was low and with a larger sample size, they might have seen a significant difference. Also, considering both diets led to weight loss (see below or additional information section), yet the diets were not statistically different from one another in that regard increases my suspicion (with the energy intake data), that had the study lasted another 4 weeks per condition, there would have been a difference.

3. The researchers point out that the participants lost a little weight on both diets, with no difference between diets

VERY LOW CARBOHYDRATE DIETS AND BLOOD LIPIDS

2759

4

m lipids in norm	al weight wome	n consuming ver	y low carbohydi	rate and low fat	diets1	
V	Very low carbohydrate Low fat			Low fat		
wk 0	wk 2	wk 4	wk 0	wk 2	wk 4	P-value2
$\begin{array}{c} 4.61 \pm 0.88 \\ 2.94 \pm 0.66 \\ 1.28 \pm 0.29 \\ 0.86 \pm 0.32 \\ 3.72 \pm 0.76 \\ 2.39 \pm 0.63 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 5.39 \pm 0.52^* \# \\ 3.41 \pm 0.37^* \# \\ 1.67 \pm 0.33^* \# \\ 0.69 \pm 0.17 \# \\ 3.33 \pm 0.56 \\ 2.13 \pm 0.52 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 5.34 \pm 0.89" \# \\ 3.37 \pm 0.62" \# \\ 1.69 \pm 0.38" \# \\ 0.60 \pm 0.14" \# \\ 3.25 \pm 0.54" \\ 2.08 \pm 0.50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.78 \pm 0.91 \\ 3.11 \pm 0.72 \\ 1.30 \pm 0.28 \\ 0.79 \pm 0.60 \\ 3.77 \pm 0.67 \\ 2.48 \pm 0.64 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.46 \pm 0.70^{*} \\ 2.70 \pm 0.52^{*} \\ 1.24 \pm 0.29 \\ 1.14 \pm 0.59^{*} \\ 3.73 \pm 0.77 \\ 2.26 \pm 0.54 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 4.53 \pm 0.88 \\ 2.96 \pm 0.67\$ \\ 1.20 \pm 0.24 \\ 0.82 \pm 0.28 \\ 3.86 \pm 0.70 \\ 2.53 \pm 0.60 \end{array}$	<0.0001 0.0001 <0.0001 0.0290 0.0437 0.0897
	n lipids in norm wk 0 4.61 ± 0.88 2.94 ± 0.66 1.28 ± 0.29 0.86 ± 0.32 3.72 ± 0.76 2.39 ± 0.63	m lipids in normal weight women Very low carbohydn wk 0 wk 2 4.61 ± 0.88 5.39 ± 0.52*# 2.24 ± 0.66 3.41 ± 0.37*# 1.28 ± 0.29 1.67 ± 0.33* 0.66 ± 0.32 0.69 ± 0.17# 3.72 ± 0.76 3.3 ± 0.56 2.39 ± 0.63 2.13 ± 0.52	which is normal weight women consuming very Very low carbohydrate wk0 wk2 wk4 4.61 ± 0.88 5.39 ± 0.52*# 5.34 ± 0.89*# 2.24 ± 0.66 3.41 ± 0.37*# 3.37 ± 0.62*# 1.28 ± 0.29 1.67 ± 0.33*# 1.89 ± 0.89* 0.46 ± 0.32 0.69 ± 0.17# 0.60 ± 0.14*# 3.37 ± 0.76 3.33 ± 0.56 3.25 ± 0.54*	NOLL 1 NOLL 1 NOLL 1 Very low carbohydrate wk 0 wk 0 4.61 ± 0.88 5.39 ± 0.52*# 5.34 ± 0.89*# 4.78 ± 0.91 2.284 ± 0.66 3.41 ± 0.37*# 3.37 ± 0.62*# 3.11 ± 0.72 2.84 ± 0.66 3.41 ± 0.37*# 1.69 ± 0.82*# 3.11 ± 0.72 0.86 ± 0.32 0.69 ± 0.17* 0.60 ± 0.34*# 0.79 ± 0.60 3.72 ± 0.76 3.33 ± 0.56 3.25 ± 0.54* 3.77 ± 0.67 2.39 ± 0.63 2.13 ± 0.52 2.08 ± 0.50 2.48 ± 0.64	NDLL 1 Very low carbohydrate and low fat Very low carbohydrate Low fat wk 0 wk 2 wk 0 wk 0 wk 2 4.61 ± 0.88 5.39 ± 0.52*8 5.34 ± 0.89*8 4.78 ± 0.91 4.46 ± 0.70* 2.284 ± 0.06 3.41 ± 0.37*8 3.37 ± 0.62*8 3.11 ± 0.72 2.70 ± 0.52* 1.28 ± 0.29 1.67 ± 0.33*8 1.69 ± 0.38*8 1.30 ± 0.28 1.24 ± 0.29 0.46 ± 0.32 0.69 ± 0.17*1 0.69 ± 0.17*1 0.60 ± 0.14* 0.79 ± 0.65 3.72 ± 0.76 3.33 ± 0.56 3.25 ± 0.54* 3.77 ± 0.67 3.73 ± 0.77 3.29 ± 0.63 2.13 ± 0.52 2.08 ± 0.54* 2.48 ± 0.64 2.26 ± 0.54	NOLL 2 Very low carbohydrate and low fat diets1 Very low carbohydrate Low fat wk 0 wk 4 wk 4 wk 2 wk 4 4.61 ± 0.88 6.39 ± 0.52*# 5.34 ± 0.89*# 4.76 ± 0.91 4.46 ± 0.70* 4.53 ± 0.88 2.94 ± 0.66 3.41 ± 0.37*# 3.37 ± 0.62*# 3.11 ± 0.72 2.70 ± 0.52* 2.98 ± 0.67% 3.12 ± 0.29 1.67* 3.23 ± 0.65* 3.33 ± 0.65* 3.11 ± 0.72 2.70 ± 0.52* 2.98 ± 0.67% 3.11 ± 0.72 2.70 ± 0.52* 2.98 ± 0.67% 3.11 ± 0.72 2.70 ± 0.55* 2.98 ± 0.67% 3.71 ± 0.67 3.73 ± 0.77 3.88 ± 0.70 3.88 ± 0.70 3.88 ± 0.70 3.88 ± 0.70 3.88 ± 0.70 3.88 ± 0.70 2.48 ± 0.44 2.26* 2.64 2.53 ± 0.66 2.48 ± 0.67 3.73 ± 0.67 3.73 ± 0.67 3.73 ± 0.67 3.73 ± 0.67 3.74 ± 0.64 2.64 ± 0.56 2.64 ± 0.56 2.64 ± 0.56 2.64 ± 0.64 2.64 ± 0.64 2.64 ± 0.64 2.64 ± 0.64 2.64 ± 0.64 2.64 ± 0.64 2.64 ± 0.64 2.64 ± 0.64 2.64 ± 0.64

¹ Values are mean ± SD, n = 10. * Different from wk 0, P ≤ 0.05; \$ different from wk 2, P ≤ 0.05; # different from low fat at that time, P ≤ 0.05. ² P-value for interaction between diet and time.
³ LDL-C, LDL cholesterol; HDL-C, HDL cholesterol.

carbohydrate, 7.50 \pm 0.74 to 5.99 \pm 0.38 ng/L; low fat, 7.90 \pm 0.97 to 7.29 \pm 0.62 ng/L).

DISCUSSION

DISCUSSION Very low carbohydrate diets have been criticized because of concerns related to adverse effects on cardiovascular disease (CVD), yet few studies have directly evaluated the effects on circulating risk factors for CVD to support this view (15). The results of this study show that a very low carbohydrate diet favorably affects fasting and postpradial triacylgyeetols, HDL-C and the total cholesterol/HDL-C ratio in normal-weight normolipidemic women who were in energy balance and who did not alter their level of physical activity. The results are consistent with responses in men under similar conditions (4,5). There were no significant effects of the very low carbohydrate diet on LDL subclasses and markers of in-fiammation.

low carbohydrate diet on LDL successes and manaces or or-fammation. The parteen of increased total cholesterol, LDL-C and HDL-C after a very low carbohydrate diet in normal-weight women is consistent with our prior work in normal-weight men (4,5). However, there were differences in the relative

TABLE 3

Low density lipoprotein subclass concentrations in normal-weight women consuming very low carbohydrate

Lipoprotein fraction	Very low carbohydrate		Low fat		
	wk 0	wk 4	wk 0	wk 4	
	%				
VLDL ²	11.4 ± 2.3	8.0 ± 2.2*#	12.8 ± 4.1	11.3 ± 3.2	
IDL-C	10.3 ± 3.7	7.9 ± 1.5	10.2 ± 2.3	10.1 ± 1.7	
IDL-B	76+35	75 + 34	58 + 29	73+28	
LDL-1	20.8 ± 6.6	22.3 ± 5.4	18.8 ± 4.6	20.6 ± 4.3	
LDL-2	10.6 ± 6.2	12.3 ± 5.5	12.8 ± 6.9	13.7 ± 6.8	
LDL-3	1.3 ± 1.9	2.0 ± 2.4	2.2 ± 2.0	2.1 ± 2.8	
LDL-4	0.2 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.6	0.3 ± 0.6	0.2 ± 0.7	
LDL-5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	
Peak LDL size, nm	27.5 ± 0.7	27.6 ± 0.5	27.3 ± 0.7	27.2 ± 6.8	
Mean LDL size, nm	27.1 ± 0.4	27.0 ± 0.4	26.9 ± 0.4	27.0 ± 0.4	

magnitude of the increases. After a 6-wk very low carbohy-drate diet period in normal-weight men, total cholesterol, LDL-C and HDL-C increased 5, 4 and 12%, respectively (5). Corresponding changes in this study were 16, 15 and 33%. In both normal-weight men and women, a very low carbohydrate diet causes a disproportional increase in HDL-C such that the total cholestero/HDL-C is reduced, particularly in normal-weight women. A low HDL-C concentration is strongly and



FIGURE 1 Relationship between baseline peak LDL size and the hange in peak LDL after 4 wk of consuming very low carbohydrate $\langle \vec{r}^2 - 0.58; \vec{r} < 0.05 \rangle$ and low fat $\langle \vec{r}^2 = 0.50; \vec{P} < 0.05 \rangle$ diets in normal-vight women (n = 10).

Table 2

This data shows the various cholesterol and blood fat (triacylglycerol/triglyceride) levels when the women were on each diet (low carbohydrate vs low fathigh carb). * = That value is different from the baseline (week 0) of the same diet; \$ = that value is different from week 2 of the same diet; # = that value is different from low fat.

Primary Results:

- Truinary results:
 Total cholesterol increases with a low carbohydrate diet.
 Total cholesterol reduces after 2 weeks on a low fat diet.
 LDL cholesterol increases with low carbohydrate diet.
 LDL decreases on a low carbohydrate diet.
 HDL increases on to how carbohydrate diet.
 HDL does not change for the low fat diet.
 Tridycardies decrease with low carb diet

- Triglycerides decrease with low carb diet.
 Triglycerides increase at week 2 with the low fat diet.

Take Away: Cholesterol generally increases on the low carbohydrate diet and decreases or stays stable on the low fat diet. Triglycerides/blood fats decrease on the low carbohydrate diet and either increase or stay stable on the low fat diet.

4. Other studies by this group have also shown increases in cholesterol, in men

Table 3

This data shows the differences in Very Low Density Lipoprotein (VLDL), Intermediate Density Lipoprotein (IDL), Low Density Lipoprotein (LDL) types and size of the particles in these diets "low carb vs low fat).

Not much change here, honestly. There's a reduction in VLDL and IDL-B in the low carbohydrate diet group



FIGURE 2 Serum triacy/glycerol concentrations after ingestion of high fat meal at baseline and after 4 wk of consuming very low archorydrate and low fat diets in normal-vergitr women. Values are neans \pm scu, n = 10. There were significant time (P < 0.0001) and diet time (P = 0.0039) effects.

means $2 \, \text{sim} (P = 0.039)$ effects. inversely associated with risk for coronary heart disease and a high HDL-C is associated with reduced risk (16). In the Adult Treatment Panel III (17), a high HDL-C concentration >1.55 mmol/L (=80 mg/dL) is considered a negative risk factor, and its presence evokes removal of one risk factor from the total coant used for setting treatment goals for LDL-C. Before consumption of the very low carbohydrate diet, 20% of women met the criterion of high HDL-C (=80 mg/dL), after the very low carbohydrate diet, 80% met this criterion. The large increase in HDL-C could have been due to increased production by hepatocytes and the intestinal mucosa and/or increased lipoprotents (i.e., unsetrified cholesterol, apoprotein and phospholipid) that are acquired by HDL-C. I also ince overexpressing the gene encoding LPL, there is a corre-lation between increasing posthepatin LPL activity and in-creasing HDL-C, which becomes stronger after consumption of a high fat diet (18). In humans, moderate-to-high fat diets (46-65% ot total energy) significantly increase posthepatin plasma LPL activity and skeletal muscle LPL activity (19-21), and LPL activity is positively correlated with HDL-C (22-25), especially in response to high fat diets (19). Although specu-tarive, increased tisue expression and activity of LPL may partially explain the mechanism by which very low carbohy-drate diets increase HDL-C. The significant reduction in postprandial Ireonia is also consistent with the noriton that increased LPL activity may at the ner in our previous study (5), the relative reduction significant there in our previous study (5), the relative reduction at the reduced postprandial Ireonia (-23%), suggest-ing that a decrease in VLDL production rate contributed as well to the reduced postprandial intra-leyleycerols are independent risk factors for CVD (2427), the significant reduced fasting and postprandial triacyleycerols are independent risk factors for CVD (2427), t

5

<text><text><text><text><text>

6.

6

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Gary Handelman, Ira Ockene and Eric Rawson for their contributions in analyzing C-reactive protein, Mary Dinehart and Monica Lebre for dietary analyses and the subjects for making this study possible.

LITERATURE CITED

Blackburn, G. L., Phillps, J. C. & Morreales, S. (2001) Physician's guide to popular low-cathorydate weight-loss dates. Caev. Clin. J. Med. 68: 765-774.
 St. et al., F. Howard, B. V., Poestitt, T. E., Soyee, V., Bazzare, T. & S. Steven, S. & Steven, S. & Steven, S. & Steven, S. & Steven, V. & Bazzare, T. & Activity, and Metabolism of the Annences heart Association. Dottary protein and weight reduction: a statement for heathcare professionals from the Nutrition Committee of the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism of the Annencan Heath Association. Clickation 104: 1880–1874.

There were no significant differences in cholesterol particle subclasses, unlike those seen in men, which did see an increase in particle size. The researchers point out this could be because women already have larger particle sizes compared against men. In men, a high fat, low carbohydrate diet increases the size of these particles.

The increases in HDL cholesterol could be due, according to the researchers, to production by the liver and intestines and/or increased activity of the lipoprotein lipase (LPL), which cleaves fat molecules, and leads to the release of other components that are taken up by HDL particles.

The increases LPL activity may also be a contributing reason for why triglycerides decrease, as elevated LPL activity is present with low carb diets, as a whole.

Freedman, M. R., King, J. & Kennedy, E. (2001) Popular diets: a scientific review. Obes. Res. 9 (suppl. 1): 1s – 40a.
 Voike, J. S., Gómez, A. L. & Kvenner, W. J. (2000) Fasting and postparadial ipoportein responses to a low-catobydate det supplemented with n5 fatty acids. J. Am. Call. Nutr. 19: 835–391.
 Sharman, M. J., Kraemer, W. J., Love, D. M., Avery, N. G., Gómez, A. L., Scheett, T. P. & Voike, J. S. (2002). A ketopoint det twordpl affacts serum biomaines for cardiovascular disease in normal-weight men. J. Nutr. 132: 1879– 1885.

Shaman, M. J., Keamar, W. J., Love, D. M., Avery, N. G., Górnez, A. L., Detest, T. F. & Volek, J. S. (2002). A ketogene ted Savarday index samu model in the cardiovascular disease in normal-weight iner. J. Nucl. 152: 1979– 1988.
 Roos, R. (1999). Alterocolerocis: an influenmatory disease. N. Engl. J. McJ. (2017). A strategiest of the control of the contr

2079-2089. 19. Campos, H., Dreon, D. M. & Krauss, R. M. (1995) Associations of hepatic and ligorotein lipase activities with changes in dietary composition and low density lipoprotein subclasses. J. Lipid Res. 36: 462-472.

2021

<page-header><page-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>